

## ERRATUM

## Open Access



# Erratum to: Diagnostic work-up of patients presenting in primary care with lower abdominal symptoms: which faecal test and triage strategy should be used?

Callum G. Fraser

## Erratum

After publication of the original article [1], it came to the author's attention that there were two errors in the Conclusions section. 'Quantitative' was mistakenly written as 'qualitative' in the following part of the section:

"**Quantitative** estimates of f-Hb also provide more information than qualitative f-Hb POC tests. Simple and rapid diagnostic pathways are also advantageous. Thus, a possible strategy would be to collect a sample for **quantitative** measure of f-Hb from all patients presenting with lower abdominal symptoms in primary care."

Published online: 12 October 2016

## Reference

1. Fraser CG. Diagnostic work-up of patients presenting in primary care with lower abdominal symptoms: which faecal test and triage strategy should be used? *BMC Med.* 2016;14:139. doi:10.1186/s12916-016-0694-3.

Correspondence: [callum.fraser@nhs.net](mailto:callum.fraser@nhs.net)

Centre for Research into Cancer Prevention and Screening, University of Dundee, Ninewells Hospital and Medical School, Dundee DD1 9SY, Scotland, UK



© 2016 The Author(s). **Open Access** This article is distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License (<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/>), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided you give appropriate credit to the original author(s) and the source, provide a link to the Creative Commons license, and indicate if changes were made. The Creative Commons Public Domain Dedication waiver (<http://creativecommons.org/publicdomain/zero/1.0/>) applies to the data made available in this article, unless otherwise stated.